# TOMORROW’S CHEMISTRY

**ANALYSING CLIMATE TRANSITION STRATEGIES OF THE WORLD’S CHEMICAL GIANTS**

## Recommended Questions

| Q.1 | **Question**: How does your investment in sustainability and decarbonisation directly contribute to achieving your stated climate targets, particularly for Scope 3 emissions?  
**Background**: Companies rarely disclose the investment/capex they are putting forward to make their climate mitigation initiatives a reality. When they do, it is mainly regarding Scope 1 and 2 actions, and not linked to an expected range of GHG emissions reduction.  
**Target**: This question would encourage companies to clarify the direct impact of their financial commitments on their emissions reduction trajectory, ensuring capital allocation aligns with full value chain climate goals. |
| Q.2 | **Question**: Can you detail how sustainability goals, especially around transition, are integrated into executive compensation and incentive structures?  
**Background**: Most companies lag in integrating sustainability goals into management compensation, or these become irrelevant when other financial goals are achieved. Often, sustainability goals can be over-ridden by financial metrics, making them irrelevant.  
**Target**: This question aims to enhance the link between corporate leadership incentives and sustainability performance, highlighting the importance of executive accountability in driving climate action. |
| Q.3 | **Question**: What strategies are in place to mitigate the risks associated with reliance on future, unproven technologies for achieving Net Zero ambitions?  
**Background**: Some chemical companies have high climate ambitions but often rely on advancements in future technology to deliver on these. Such technologies may be unproven at scale.  
**Target**: Investors need to assess the company’s backup plans and interim solutions that ensure progress towards climate targets, even as future technologies are being developed. |

## Report Key Takeaways

- Analysis of seven CA100+ chemical companies reveals varied levels of commitment to Net Zero, with Air Liquide leading and BASF lagging, due to challenges like reliance on unproven technologies, low investment in mitigation and a lack of clear sustainability linked remuneration.

- Overall, the chemical sector struggles to align climate pledges with actual investments, and integrating Net Zero targets into executive incentives.

- This study highlights differences in companies’ climate strategies, stressing the need for clearer and more credible plans supported by capex to meet the Paris Agreement goals.

Click to view the report online
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