# **TOMORROW'S CHEMISTRY**

## ANALYSING CLIMATE TRANSITION STRATEGIES OF THE WORLD'S CHEMICAL GIANTS

#### **Recommended Questions**

Q.1	Question: How does your investment in sustainability and decarbonisation directly contribute to achieving your stated climate targets, particularly for Scope 3 emissions? Background: Companies rarely disclose the investment/ capex they are putting forward to make their climate mitigation initiatives a reality. When they do, it is mainly regarding Scope 1 and 2 actions, and not linked to an expected range of GHG emissions reduction. Target: This question would encourage companies to clarify the direct impact of their financial commitments on their emissions reduction trajectory, ensuring capital allocation aligns with full value chain climate goals.
Q.2	<ul> <li>Question: Can you detail how sustainability goals, especially around transition, are integrated into executive compensation and incentive structures?</li> <li>Background: Most companies lag in integrating sustainability goals into management compensation, or these become irrelevant when other financial goals are achieved. Often, sustainability goals can be over-ridden by financial metrics, making them irrelevant.</li> <li>Target: This question aims to enhance the link between corporate leadership incentives and sustainability performance, highlighting the importance of executive accountability in driving climate action.</li> </ul>
Q.3	<ul> <li>Question: What strategies are in place to mitigate the risks associated with reliance on future, unproven technologies for achieving Net Zero ambitions?</li> <li>Background: Some chemical companies have high climate ambitions but often rely on advancements in future technology to deliver on these. Such technologies may be unproven at scale.</li> <li>Target: Investors need to assess the company's backup plans and interim solutions that ensure progress towards climate targets, even as future technologies are being developed.</li> </ul>

### **Report Key Takeaways**

- Analysis of seven CA100+ chemical companies reveals varied levels of commitment to Net Zero, with Air Liquide leading and BASF lagging, due to challenges like reliance on unproven technologies, low investment in mitigation and a lack of clear sustainability linked remuneration.
- Overall, the chemical sector struggles to align climate pledges with actual investments, and integrating Net Zero targets into executive incentives.
- This study highlights differences in companies' climate strategies, stressing the need for clearer and more credible plans supported by capex to meet the Paris Agreement goals.

#### Click to view the report online



## Disclaimer: Click here

Further information: Nicole Kozlowski, Head of Engagement nicole@planet-tracker.org